UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

FILED

DISTRICT COUP

PATRICIA E. SLATTERY, and MICHAEL B. SLATTERY, Plaintiffs,

4 - 30209 - MAP

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

RICHARD HOWSE, TRAVELERS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, INC., and KINGSWAY GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants

FILING FEE PAID:

RECEIPT # 305763

AMOUNT \$ 150.00

BY DPTY CLK MUL

DATE 10126104

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

The defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company ("Kingsway") hereby notices the removal of the following described action from the Hampshire Superior Court ("State Court"), to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts ("District Court"), as authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 1441, et seq. The defendant respectfully submits the following grounds for removal:

Ī.

The plaintiffs, Patricia E. Slattery and Michael B. Slattery filed suit against the defendants on September 17, 2004, in a suit entitled <u>Patricia E. Slattery</u>, et al v. Richard Howse, et al., in Hampshire Superior Court (Hampshire County), Massachusetts ("State Court action"). A copy of the Complaint ("Complaint") is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

II.

Kingsway received a copy of the Complaint and Summons on October 7, 2004, via registered mail. Upon information and belief, the defendants, Richard Howse ("Howse") and Travelers Transportation Service, Inc. ("Travelers") have not yet been served. In any event, none

of the defendants have filed a responsive pleading to the Complaint, and the defendant has not received any other pleadings or orders in the State Court action. To the defendant's knowledge, with the exception of the filing of the Complaint, there have been no further proceedings in the State Court action.

III.

Kingsway was served with the Complaint on October 7, 2004. This Notice of Removal has been filed within the thirty (30) day period prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1446 (b).

IV.

According to the Civil Action Cover Sheet, which accompanied the Complaint, the plaintiffs claimed damages are in excess of \$75,000. See Exhibit A. The plaintiff seeks damages from the defendants based on theories of negligence, negligent entrustment and violations of Mass. Gen. Laws Chapters 93A and 176D. The nature of the allegations are stated in the Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit A. The defendant denies that it was negligent or violated these statutes. The defendant further states that it has valid defenses to the allegations contained in the Complaint.

<u>V</u>.

The captioned District Court sits in the district and division embracing the place where the State Court action is pending.

VI.

The District Court has jurisdiction over this action based on diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The plaintiffs are citizens of Florida, with a residence at 19784 SW 93 Lane, Dunnellon, Florida. Howse is a citizen of Canada, with a residence at 28 Salisbury Road RR7, Belleville, Ontario, Canada. Travelers is a Canadian corporation with a principal place of business at 195 Heart Lake Road South, Brampton, Ontario, Canada. Kingsway is a

Canadian corporation with a principal place of business at 5310 Explorer Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada. The amount in controversy, in the event that the plaintiffs were to prevail on their claims, exceeds \$75,000, jointly or severally, exclusive of interest and costs.

VII.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (a), the District Court has original jurisdiction over all civil actions in which the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest or costs, and which are between citizens of a state and citizens or subjects of a foreign state. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (c) (1), a corporation is deemed to be a citizen of any state in which it is incorporated and the state where it has its principal place of business. Therefore, the District Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1332, and this action may be removed from the State Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (a) and (b).

VIII.

Howse, Travelers and Kingsway are the only defendants in this matter.

<u>IX</u>.

A list of counsel of record is attached hereto as Exhibit B. An index of all matters being filed herewith is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

<u>X</u>.

The defendant appears herein by and through its attorneys specifically and solely for the purpose of removing the State Court action to the District Court.

<u>XI</u>.

Promptly after filing this Notice of Removal of the State Court action, the defendant will give written notice of such filing to the plaintiffs and file a copy of the Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the State Court, which shall effect the removal of the State Court action and the State Court shall proceed no further unless and until the case is remanded.

WHEREFORE, the defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company prays that this Notice of Removal be accepted as good and sufficient, that the aforesaid Complaint be removed from the State Court to the District Court for trial and determination as provided by law, that the District Court enter such orders and issue such process as may be proper to bring before it copies of all records and proceedings in said State Court action, and thereupon proceed with this civil action as if it had originally been commenced in the District Court.

SO NOTICED this 25th day of October 2004.

Respectfully Submitted,

KINGSWAY GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

By their attorneys

Maynard M. Kirpalani,BBO# 273949 Christopher P. Flanagan, BBO# 567075 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER

155 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 422-5300

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Christopher P. Flanagan, hereby certify that I have this 25th day of October 2004, served a copy of the foregoing upon counsel of record by mailing same postage prepaid.

Christopher P. Flanagan

EXHIBIT A

COMPLAINT AND CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

HAMPSHIRE, SS

HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO.

04 221

PATRICIA B. SLATTERY, and MICHAEL B. SLATTERY, Plaintiffs.

COMPLAINT

V.

RICHARD HOWSE, TRAVELERS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, INC., and KINGSWAY GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants.

PARTIES

SEP

- The Plaintiffs, Patricia R. Slattery and Michael B. Slattery, are adults, married to 1. each other and residing at 19784 SW 93 Lane, Dunnellon, Florida. \triangleright
- The Defendant, Richard Howse, through information and belief, is an adult 2. residing at 28 Salisbury Road RR7, Belleville, Ontario, Canada.
- The Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, Inc. (hereafter "Travelers 3. Transportation Service"), is a Canadian corporation with its usual place of business at 195 Heart Lake Road South, Brampton, Ontario, Canada.
- The Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, is a Canadian corporation 4. with its usual place of business at 5310 Explorer Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada.

FACTS

- 5. The Plaintiff, Patricia B. Slattery, says that on or about July 30, 2002, at approximately 1:15 a.m., she was operating her motor vehicle, on Interstate Route 91 South in Northampton, County of Hampshire, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- The Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, says that at the same time and place the б. Defendant, Richard Howse, so negligently operated a motor vehicle that it collided with the rear of the motor vehicle operated by the Plaintiff.

- 7. The collision was witnessed by Plaintiff Michael B. Slattery, who was traveling behind Plaintiff Patricia B. Slattery in another vehicle.
- 8. At the time of the collision, the Defendant, Richard Howse, was operating a Freightliner tractor-trailer owned by the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service.
- 9. At the time of the collision, the Defendant, Richard Howse, was an employee of the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service.
- 10. As the result of the Defendant Richard Howse's negligence, the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, was injured in both mind and body and incurred medical and hospital bills in excess of \$2,000.00, all to her great damage.
- 11. As the result of the Defendant Richard Howse's negligence, the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, suffered loss of consortium, all to his great damage.
- 12. On May 7, 2004, Plaintiff's forwarded a written demand for relief pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D to the Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company (See enclosed demand letter, Exhibit 1).
- 13. Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, failed to adequately respond to Plaintiffs' Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D demand and failed make any offer of settlement (See correspondence form Kingsway General Insurance Company (Exhibit 2).
- 14. The Plaintiffs have satisfied all requirements of presentment of their claims under Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D.
- 15. The acts of the Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, were unfair and deceptive in violation of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 93A.
- 16. The acts of the Defendant, Kingsway General insurance Company, were willful and knowing in violation of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 93A.
- 17. The failure of Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, to make a reasonable offer of settlement is a willful and knowing violation of Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D were unfair and deceptive in violation of those acts.

Count I - Patricia E. Slattery v. Richard Howse

18. Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.

6 'd 89L'ON

- 19. Defendant, Richard Howse, negligently and carelessly operated a motor vehicle so as to cause injury to the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Richard Howse's actions, Plaintiff, Patricia B. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, personal injury, significant medical expenses for said injuries, and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Richard Howse, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate her for her injuries together with interest and costs.

Count II - Patricia E. Slattery v. Travelers Transportation Service

- 21. Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.
- 22. Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, through its agents, employees, or servants negligently and carelessly operated its motor vehicle so as to cause injury to the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service's actions, Plaintiff, Patricia B. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, personal injury, significant medical expenses for said injuries, and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate her for her injuries together with interest and costs.

Count III - Michael B. Slattery v. Richard Howse

- 24. Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.
- 25. Defendant, Richard Howse, negligently and carelessly operated a motor vehicle so as to cause injury to the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Richard Howse's actions, Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, loss of conscribum and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

OCT. 7. 2004 3:57PM

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Richard Howse, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate him for his injuries together with interest and costs.

Count IV - Michael B. Slattery v. Travelers Transportation Service

- 27. Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.
- 28. Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, through its agents, employees, or servants negligently and carelessly operated its motor vehicle so as to cause injury to the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service's actions, Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, loss of consortium and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate him for his injuries together with interest and costs.

Count V - Patricia E. Slattery v. Travelers Transportation Service for Negligent Entrustment

- 30. Plaintiff, Patricia B. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.
- 31. Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, failed to exercise due care in entrusting the Defendant Richard Howse with a company vehicle.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Travelers Transportation Service's actions Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, personal injury, significant medical expenses for said injuries, and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate her for her injuries together with interest and costs.

OCT. 7. 2004 3:58PM

Count VI - Michael B. Slattery v. Travelers Transportation Service for Negligent Entrustment

- 33. Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.
- 34. Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, falled to exercise due care in entrusting the Defendant, Richard Howse, with a company vehicle.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Travelers Transportation Service's actions, Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, sustained substantial harm including, but not limited to, loss of conscritium and a diminished ability to enjoy life and to attend to usual activities.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, demands judgment against the Defendant, Travelers Transportation Service, in an amount to be determined by the Court to be fair and reasonable to compensate him for his injuries together with interest and costs.

Count VII - Patricia E. Slattery v. Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company for violations of Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 23A and 176D

36. Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, this is an action by the Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, against the Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, for violation of Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D. Plaintiff, Patricia E. Slattery, demands judgment against Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, in the amount of their damages, together with multiple damages in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A Section 9, and together with attorney fees, interest and costs as permitted by law.

Count VIII - Michael B. Slattery v. Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company for violations of Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D

37. Plaintiff, Michael B. Slattery, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if expressly set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, this is an action by the Plaintiff, Michael B. Slettery, against the Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, for violation of Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 93A and 176D. Plaintiff, Michael B. Slettery, demands judgment against Defendant, Kingsway General Insurance Company, in the amount of their damages, together with multiple damages in accordance with Massachusetts General

JCT. 7. 2004 3:58PM NO. 768 P. 12

Laws Chapters 93A Section 9, and together with attorney fees, interest and costs as permitted by law.

Respectfully submitted, By their attorney,

Neil Burns, BBO #546051 Keith Slattery, BBO #652499 Law Office of Neil Burns Six Beacon Street, Suite 600 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 227-7423

September 14, 2004

** TOTAL PAGE.11 **

OCT. 7.2004 3:58PM

"I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Supreme Judicial Court Uniform Rule 3 on Dispute Resolution (SJC Rule 1:18) requiring that I provide my clients with information about court-connected dispute

resolution services and discuss with them the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods."

AOTO-6 mb://05-11/99

Signature of Attorney of Record

EXHIBIT B ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD

1. COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS, PATRICIA E. SLATTERY AND MICHAEL B. SLATTERY:

Neil Burns, Esq. Keith Slattery, Esq. Law Office of Neil Burns Six Beacon Street, Suite 600 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 227-7423

2. COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS, RICHARD HOWSE, TRAVELERS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, INC. AND KINGSWAY GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY:

Maynard M. Kirpalani Christopher P. Flanagan WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER 155 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 422-5300

EXHIBIT C MATTERS FILED WITH NOTICE OF REMOVAL

1. Complaint, Summons and Civil Action Cover Sheet.